

The Gospel is presented & also in the Bible as a record of certain facts forming the history of our Lord.

The object of the Gospel is to draw souls to God.

The tendency of the Gospel as found in Scripture is to fasten the attention of men upon outward facts - upon another person - rather than upon themselves.

Of course there must be an understanding of the bearing of these facts upon us - otherwise they concern us - and this is furnished also in Holy Writ. Such may be regarded as the subjective view of the matter - while the record of facts is the objective.

Now Mr. Sadle evidently shows that God gives in His inspired Word a vast preponderance to the historical view of the Gospel - from the following considerations

First: the historical books form the larger part of the New Testament

Second: The Records of the Apostle's teaching show them to have confined themselves, very much - almost entirely to the historical narration of the events of Christ's life.

Third: In the Epistles - or doctrinal portion of the ^{n. 3.} Bible the teaching of doctrine is mixed up almost inseparably with reference to - and appeals drawn from - and quotations of the Life & Death Sc. of Christ.

From these considerations it is desired to show not that doctrinal teaching is to ~~depend~~ set at

wrought ; not that it is not essential for a Christian
to understand the doctrine of his faith.

But it is intended to be shown and asserted :
That to separate the doctrine from the history - to
base the appeal upon the doctrine - to form the charac-
ter of the belief upon the doctrines - to subordinate
the historical narration of Christ's life and death to the
reflection upon, and self application of, certain abstract
doctrines is contrary to God's plan as indicated
in His Word - and therefore wrong.

~~~~~

Thrasætian of the great Church father and  
especially that

In asserting that God's Word does not men-  
tion an inner peculiar church - in sooth i.e. not distin-  
guishable by human eye - it is not meant to imply  
that there are not in the church those who are real children  
of God - and others who are not - because the Scripture  
distinctly teaches that such divers classes there are do exist

But the church does protest against the teaching  
that among her baptized children there are some <sup>one</sup> who  
have been admitted to the privileges promised in baptism,  
while another outside being are not partakers of God's<sup>place</sup>

Among baptized Christians - the most unworthy and apostate has equally with the most holy and faithful the grace of God at his hand if he will use ; Equally are these two, as far as God's part goes, "members of Christ, children of God, and inheritors of the Kingdom of heaven."

Here applies with, to me, wonderful force, St Paul's assertion of the Jews "What if some did not believe ? Shall their unbelief make the promise of God of none effect. God spares ~~so let God be true~~ and (not somewhat) every man a liar." This immediately apropos of privileges covenanted to the Jewish Church - in certain rites.

A specimen argument might be drawn from Romans 11. 6. &c "They are not all Israel which are of Israel. &c" But close examination shows that this is spoken not of their own faithfulness to God - not of their participations in the privileges ; for of them in the mass is asserted the possession of those privileges in verses 4. 5 -