

— Naval Forces

Page 2 -

I disagree with connotation implied by use of word "force" in place of "power" -

Reason: Naval forces in the future will be tasked principally with projection of the image of national (or multi-national) political/military capability and intent - the ^{actual} use of force will be an admission of failure to carry out this task

Page 2 - Insert #1

I disagree with the heavy-handed emphasis on "Clath" incident — if it must be singled out, a more subtle approach is desirable in order to avoid the appearance of omnipotence given the SU by this rather commonplace weapon system — it is not "the-end-of-an-era-type-weapon", merely a poor man's response to C/A challenge, a hazard of going to sea in a technological world, which can be countered by the use of technology combined with prudence — the "Clath" incident merely means that

naval tactics must change, not
naval strategy.

P. 3. See Insert # 2 for
recommended change

P. 4. See Insert # 3 for
recommended change

P. 6. * "multi-national forces have
a greater political and psychological
impact than ~~the same~~ identically
constituted national forces, while
preserving ^{the same} essential military
capability."

P. 7 - See change recommended.

P. 7. Insert # 5 - see rec. change